

<b>Family Name</b>	Sanderson
<b>Given Name</b>	Gabriela
<b>Person ID</b>	1286411
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Sanderson
<b>Given Name</b>	Gabriela
<b>Person ID</b>	1286411
<b>Title</b>	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The plan is not justified as there is no need for 450 "executive detached houses" in an area designated as protected green belt land, accessible to all. There has already been residential construction in the Bamford/Norden area in recent years. The increased number of residents would put pressure on local facilities (e.g. schools). The land is prone to flooding and will be more so with the removal of trees and concreting over fields. Lack of efficient public transport will mean more cars on the roads, leading to traffic congestion and unacceptable CO2 emissions, in contravention with new policies regarding climate change.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	The necessary modification for this plan (JPA 19 Bamford/Norden) is to remove it from the PfE.